

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,215	SAKOSKE, GEORGE E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762	

All Participants:

(1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(2) Kenneth Clark.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: After final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: About one o'clock

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

Proposed 18 & 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record plus Sahi

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The previous agreement to correct the 12/6/2005 after final amendment by examiner's amendment and allow the case is withdrawn due to the discovery of a superior linking reference, Sahi, that would replace Axtell in the rejection.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,215	SAKOSKE, GEORGE E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After final

 (1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kenneth Clark.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2005
Time: 2:15
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NA

Claims discussed:
 18-19

Prior art documents discussed:
 NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that the examiner would correct the informality's in the 12/06/05 amendment by underlining appropriate additions, and entered the corrected amendment via examiner's amendment. The continuing application data would also be updated.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)